Recent Developments in Maritime Law: a Multi-Jurisdictional Perspective – POLAND

Jurisprudence on arrests of ships

Pawel Mickiewicz Marek Czernis & Co. Law Office Szczecin, Poland





Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Last year

carriage of goods by sea in the Polish Maritime Code

> new Maritime Code



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter One EU Regulation 44/2001 *vs* 1952 Arrest Convention



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter One EU Regulation 44/2001 *vs* 1952 Arrest Convention

art. 47.1 of the Regulation: when a judgment must be recognised in accordance with this Regulation, nothing shall prevent the applicant from availing himself of provisional, including protective, measures in accordance with the law of the Member State requested without a declaration of enforceability under Article 41 being required



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter One EU Regulation 44/2001 *vs* 1952 Arrest Convention

art. 4 of the Convention: a ship may only be arrested under the authority of a Court or of the appropriate judicial authority of the contracting State in which the arrest is made



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter One EU Regulation 44/2001 *vs* 1952 Arrest Convention

- art. 4 of the Convention: a ship may only be arrested under the authority of a Court or of the appropriate judicial authority of the contracting State in which the arrest is made
- art. 71 of the Regulation: this Regulation shall not affect any conventions to which the Member States are parties and which in relation to particular matters, govern jurisdiction or the recognition or enforcement of judgments



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter One EU Regulation 44/2001 *vs* 1952 Arrest Convention

Courts in Poland - Convention prevails (*lex specialis*) over the Regulation



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter Two

1926 Maritime Lien Convention vs 1952 Arrest Convention



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter Two

1926 Maritime Lien Convention *vs* **1952 Arrest Convention**

art. 1.(1).(q) of the Arrest Convention: "Maritime Claim" means a claim arising out of the mortgage or hypothecation of any ship



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter Two

1926 Maritime Lien Convention *vs* **1952 Arrest Convention**

- art. 1.(1).(q) of the Arrest Convention: "Maritime Claim" means a claim arising out of the mortgage or hypothecation of any ship
- art. 7.(1).(f) of the Arrest Convention: the Courts of the country in which the arrest was made shall have jurisdiction to determine the case upon its merits if the claim is upon a mortgage or hypothecation of the ship arrested



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter Two

1926 Maritime Lien Convention *vs* **1952 Arrest Convention**

the Supreme Court in Poland – a maritime lien is not per se a maritime claim



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter Three Subrogation

art. 1.(1).(m) of the Arrest Convention: "Maritime Claim" means a claim arising out of wages of Masters, Officers, or crew



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter Three Subrogation

art. 8.(5) of the Arrest Convention: when a maritime claim is asserted by a third party other than the original claimant, whether by subrogation, assignment or otherwise, such third party shall, for the purpose of this Convention, be deemed to have the same habitual residence or principal place of business as the original claimant



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

Chapter Three Subrogation

Courts in Poland – when third person subrogates Masters, Officers, crew with respect to their wages, the person's claims are not any longer maritime claims



Jurisprudence on arrests of ships - POLAND

THANK YOU FOR ATTENTION AND PATIENCE

